# NORTH AIKEN ELEMENTARY/PINECREST 100 Bears Rock Rd. Aiken, SC 29801 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 589 Students ENROLLMENT Robert Maddox 803-641-2690 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Linda B. Eldridge 803-641-2428 Dr. John B. Bradley 803-641-2431 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 58 36 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 14 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

**NOTE:** Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

, ,			
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	45	89	50
Percent satisfied with learning environment	54.5%	78.8%	72.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	63.6%	80.7%	69.4%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	37.2%	84 1%	83.3%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

Full-pay meals

### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective June 1. z dro olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 98.8 48.4 321 36.5 14.4 0.7 15.1 17.6 Gender Male 152 97.4 42.7 46.0 11.3 N/A 11.3 17.6 Female 100.0 31.7 50.3 16.8 1.2 18.0 17.6 169 Racial/Ethnic Group 100.0 19.1 55.3 25.5 N/A 25.5 17.6 White 51 African-American 98.5 39.8 47.0 12.3 0.8 13.1 17.6 267 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 3 American Indian/Alaskan 17.6 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Disability Status Not disabled 254 32.0 50.4 17.6 99.2 16.7 0.9 17.5 Disabled 67 97.0 54.4 40.4 5.3 N/A 5.3 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Non-migrant 321 98.8 36.5 48.4 14.4 0.7 15.1 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 98.8 36.3 47.8 15.2 0.7 15.9 17.6 321 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 98.6 37.8 49.0 12.9 0.4 13.3 17.6 276 Full-pay meals 45 100.0 29.5 45.5 22.7 2.3 25.0 17.6 Mathematics All students 321 100.0 31.9 54.5 11.8 1.7 13.5 15.5 Gender Male 100.0 36.2 50.4 11.8 1.6 13.4 15.5 152 Female 100.0 28.6 57.8 11.8 1.9 13.7 15.5 169 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 12.8 63.8 19.1 4.3 23.4 15.5 51 African-American 267 100.0 35.6 52.7 10.5 1.3 11.7 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A 3 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 29.1 54.8 13.9 2.2 15.5 254 16.1 Disabled 100.0 43.1 53.4 N/A 15.5 67 3.4 3.4 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 321 100.0 31.9 54.5 11.8 1.7 13.5 15.5 English Proficiency N/A Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 321 100.0 31.9 53.8 12.5 1.8 14.3 15.5 Socio-Economic Status 54.5 Subsidized meals 276 100.0 33.6 10.2 1.6 11.9 15.5

Abbreviations	for Missing	Data
---------------	-------------	------

22.7

54.5

20.5

2.3

22.7

15.5

100.0

45

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enolit	16,463	leste ologi	ON	885.	Skoji,	Advo olo Profic
		/ Em 0	ald les	/		/	/ 9/9	0/0/
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	90	N/A	31.4	51.2	17.4	N/A	17.4
	Grade 4	87	N/A	33.7	44.6	21.7	N/A	21.7
2002	Grade 5	100	N/A	47.5	38.4	14.1	N/A	14.1
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	115	97.4	24.8	54.5	20.8	N/A	20.8
	Grade 4	110	99.1	43.6	42.6	11.9	2.0	13.9
2003	Grade 5	96	100.0	42.2	48.2	9.6	N/A	9.6
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematic	s		
	Grade 3	90	N/A	47.1	42.5	8.0	2.3	10.3
	Grade 4	87	N/A	38.1	40.5	19.0	2.4	21.4
8	Grade 5	100	N/A	56.6	25.3	10.1	8.1	18.2
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	115	100.0	27.2	59.2	12.6	1.0	13.6
	Grade 4	110	100.0	31.4	54.9	11.8	2.0	13.7
2003	Grade 5	96	100.0	38.6	48.2	10.8	2.4	13.3
	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 589)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	3.4%	Down from 6.2%	3.4%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	95.1%	Down from 95.7%	95.5%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	7.3%	Down from 7.4%	6.2%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	10.5%	Down from 10.6%	8.4%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.4%	Down from 2.1%	2.7%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	Down from 0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 46)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	39.1%	Down from 45.2%	46.7%	50.0%
	76.1%	Up from 66.7%	80.9%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	79.0%	Down from 82.0%	82.4%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	91.9%	Down from 93.4%	94.4%	95.3%
	\$38,155	Down 2.1%	\$39,079	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	9.1 days	Up from 9.0 days	12.7 days	11.4 days
School Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	4.0
	17.9 to 1	Down from 18.5 to 1	17.3 to 1	18.9 to 1
		23 3 10.0 10 1	5 10 1	

* Prior	year audite	ed financial o	data are reported.

Parents attending conferences

Percent spent on teacher salaries\*

Prime instructional time

Dollars spent per pupil\*

Opportunities in the arts

SACS accreditation

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

84.7%

\$5,679

75.9%

Good

98.7%

yes

Down from 88.0%

Down from 99.0%

Up from 74.0%

No change

N/A

Up 2.7%

87.9%

\$6,175

66.0%

Good

99.0%

yes

89.7%

\$5,892

66.6%

99.0%

yes

Good

Abbreviations	2	Mississ	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

North Aiken Elementary School faced several accomplishments as well as challenges during the 2002-2003 school year. Faculty and staff are committed to providing the best instruction and services so that all children are able to reach their highest potential. The students are continuing to display their talents and abilities.

Several students were involved in after school programs housed at North Aiken Elementary as well as programs housed at facilities in the community. Approximately eighteen students placed 1st/2nd in the Carolina Marathon Youth Fun Run Event. Students and staff enjoyed this year's Artist in Residence, Yostie Ashley, Puppeteer.

North Aiken Elementary is a proud recipient of the Westinghouse Grant for the Great Leaps Reading Program. Our SCANA Homework Center was recognized with a High Performance Partnership of the Year Award. These two programs along with others will be utilized next year to address weaknesses as well as build on strengths of each student.

North Aiken Elementary will continue to strive for excellence for all students.

Rhonda D. Ray

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.